



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fl	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/593,785	09/593,785 06/14/200		Thomas A. Shreiner	Ap32438.70121	7746
21003	7590	11/30/2004		EXAMINER	
BAKER & 30 ROCKE		Ι Δ7Α			
NEW YORK, NY 10112				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Communication Re: Appeal	09/593,785	SHREINER ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chi Q Nguyen	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not accepta	ble because:					
(a) it was not timely filed.		•				
(b) $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(b).				
(c) the appeal fee received on was not timely filed.						
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(b) is \$						
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.191 in that there is no record of a second or a final rejection in this application.						
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on						
2. A The appeal brief filed on 29 July 2004 is NOT acce	eptable for the reason(s) indicate	ed below:				
(a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 1.192.						
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(c).						
(c) □ the submitted brief fee of \$ is insufficie (d) 図 other (See attached note) The appeal in this application will be dismissed un brief and requisite fee. Extensions of time may be		to timely submit the				
3. The appeal in this application is DISMISSED because	use:					
 (a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as required period for obtaining an extension of time to fil 	l under 37 CFR 1.17(c) was not e the brief under 37 CFR 1.136	timely submitted and the has expired.				
(b) the brief was not timely filed and the period for CFR 1.136 has expired.	or obtaining an extension of time	to file the brief under 37				
(c) Request for Continued Examination (RCE) u	nder 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on					
(d)		•				
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this applica	ation:					
(a) is abandoned because there are no allowed o						
 (b) is before the examiner for final disposition be on the merits remains CLOSED. 	(b) is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits remains CLOSED.					
(c) is before the examiner for consideration of the to 37 CFR 1.114.	e submission and prosecution ha	as been reopened pursuant				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-461)

Application No.

The appeal brief is found defective and NOT acceptable because the applicant does not specify which group of claims stand or falls together.

Carl D. Friedman Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600